Defendant will need to receive and review before the scheduling depositions in this case, and aid in determination for which kind of expert witness is most appropriate to retain, in the event settlement is not reached.

26

27

28

In addition, counsel for the parties need to seek resolution of this matter through mediation,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

and parties are currently in discussion to schedule.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between CRAIG M. MURPHY, ESQ. of the law firm MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES, counsel for Plaintiff MONICA PENA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and TANIA G. BONILLA, ESQ. of the law firm of WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP as counsel for Defendant NEVADA PROPERTY 1, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), that certain discovery deadlines be extended by sixty (60) days, as set forth below, to allow the Parties to complete discovery and schedule a mediation prior to trial.

I. STATEMENT SPECIFYING DISCOVERY THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED

To date, the Parties have completed the following discovery:

- 1. Plaintiff Pena has disclosed a computation of damages and a list of providers;
- 2. Defendants have subpoenaed medical records from the list of providers (nine providers) and are still awaiting a few responses.
- 3. Plaintiff has issued written discovery requests against Defendant.
- 4. Defendant has issued written discovery requests against Plaintiff.

II. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF REMAINING DISCOVERY COMPLETED:

- 1. Depositions;
- 2. Responses to Written Discovery Propounded Upon Plaintiff and Defendant;
- 3. Retainer of Expert Witnesses;
- 4. The Parties intend to serve supplements to written discovery responses and disclosures.
- 5. The Parties will assess the need for additional discovery and conduct same accordingly.

III. REASONS WHY DISCOVERY WAS NOT COMPLETED WITHIN DEADLINES:

Since the commencement of discovery, the parties have been working amicably together to gather relevant documents, issue necessary discovery, engage in cost effective means to resolve this matter. However, as set forth above, the parties have been unable to conclude discovery for the following reasons.

• The stipulated Discovery Plan & Scheduling Order was filed December 31, 2024.

- The end of the year 2024 holidays caused delay in subpoenas.
- A heavy workload for the defense attorney following unexpected attorney departures.

The parties are working to complete discovery as quickly as possible but need additional time to do so and prepare for trial. Due to the issues stated above, the Parties respectfully request an additional sixty (60) days to complete adequate and vital discovery to prepare for trial in this matter. The parties strongly believe they will be able to pursue avenues of dispute resolution; however, additional time is needed to appropriately do so.

IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY:

- 1. Discovery Cut-Off: The parties jointly propose that the discovery cut-off date be extended sixty (60) days from its present deadline of July 7, 2025, to September 8, 2025.
- 2. Deadline for Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties: This deadline need not be amended.
- 3. Rule 26(a)(2) Disclosures: The parties shall disclose all expert witnesses by August 6, 2025 and rebuttal reports by August 27, 2025.
- 4. Dispositive Motions: The parties jointly propose that dispositive motions shall be extended sixty (60) days from the present deadline of August 5, 2025 to October 6, 2025.
- 5. Joint Pretrial Order: The parties jointly propose the current Joint Pretrial Order shall be extended sixty (60) days from the present deadline of September 6, 2025 to November 6, 2025 unless a dispositive motion is filed. If a dispositive motion is filed, the Joint Pretrial Order will not be due until 30 days after the dispositive motion is decided.
- 6. Trial Estimate: The parties estimate the trial in this case will last approximately five days.
- 7. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosure: The disclosure by FRCP 26(a)(3), and any objections thereto, shall be included in the joint pre-trial order.
- 8. Alternative Dispute Resolution: Counsel for the parties certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute resolution, including mediation, and/or early neutral evaluation. The parties are looking to schedule mediation at the end of June or

beginning of July. 9. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition: The Parties certify that they discussed consenting to trial by a magistrate judge or engaging the Short Trial Program under FRCP 37 and presently do not consent to either alternative form of case disposition. 10. Electronic Evidence: The parties certify that they have discussed and intend to use electronic evidence at the trial of this matter and will ensure that said evidence is in electronic format compatible with the Court's electronic jury evidence display system. 11. Extensions or Modifications of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order: Any stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the subject deadline. Requests to extend discovery deadlines must comply fully with LR 26-3. Respectfully Submitted: Dated this 6th day of June, 2025. Dated this 6th day of June, 2025 MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP** /s/ Craig M. Murphy, Esq. /s/ Tania G. Bonilla, Esq. Craig M. Murphy, Esq. Michael P. Lowry, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10666 Nevada Bar No. 4014 3900 S. Hualapai Way, St. 134 Tania G. Bonilla, Esq. Las Vegas Nevada 89147 Nevada Bar No. 015703 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200 Mailing Address: Las Vegas, NV 89119 4482 Market Street, Ste. 407 Ventura, CA 93003 Attorneys for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff Nevada Property 1, LLC Monica Pena IT IS SO ORDERED. DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: 6/9/2025

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Mary Fischer

From: Bonilla, Tania G. <Tania.Bonilla@wilsonelser.com>

Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 12:49 PM

To: Mary Fischer

Craig Murphy; Clark, Angela

Subject: RE: Pena v. Nevada Property 1, LLC

Attachments: Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Second Request).docx

See attached changes to Stipulation. If approved you may affix my signature.

Thank you,

Tania G. Bonilla
Attorney at Law
Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP
6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89119
702.727.1266 (Direct)
702.592.4234 (Cell)
702.727.1400 (Main)
702.727.1401 (Fax)
tania.bonilla@wilsonelser.com

From: Mary Fischer <mary@nvpilaw.com>

Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 12:19 PM

To: Bonilla, Tania G. <Tania.Bonilla@wilsonelser.com>

Cc: Craig Murphy <craig@nvpilaw.com> **Subject:** RE: Pena v. Nevada Property 1, LLC

EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside the organization.

Tania,

Here you go. If acceptable, please provide me with authorization to apply your e-signature and we'll get it filed.

Regards,

Mary Fischer

Paralegal/Case Manager MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES



Personal Injury Trial Lawyers

(702) 369-9696 Las Vegas * (805) 330-3393 California * (702) 369-9630 Fax

Mailing Address for All Locations: 4482 Market Street, Ste 407, Ventura, CA 93003

Las Vegas Office: 3900 S. Hualapai Way, Ste 134, Las Vegas, NV 89147